CHECKLIST

For reporting according to Regulation (EU) 1233/2011

I. Reporting country information

Reporting country	Czech Republic
Submission date	July 2021
Reporting institution (government	Exportní garanční a pojišťovací společnost, a.s.
department, ECA)	Export Guarantee and Insurance Corporation
	(EGAP)

	Česká exportní banka, a.s.
	Czech Export Bank
	(CEB)

II. Reporting country legal and policy information

II. Reporting country legal and police	cy information
Mandate/legal status of ECA	 EGAP is an insurance company having a legal form of a joint-stock company fully owned by the Czech state. CEB is a bank having a legal form of a joint-stock company, owned by the Czech state (84% directly and 16% through EGAP). Legal mandate for ECA activities is based on Act No. 58/1995 Coll. of 14 March 1995 on Insurance and Financing of Exports with State Support and on Supplement to Act No. 166/1993 Coll., on the Supreme Audit Office, as amended. EGAP as an insurance company is also subject to the Act on Insurance and similarly CEB as a bank is subject to the Act on Banks.
Officially supported export credit programs (in the sense of Article 5 of the OECD Arrangement) during reporting period	 EGAP provides following insurance products according to the OECD Arrangement Article 5: Insurance of a Medium- and Long-Term Export Supplier Credit Insurance of a Medium- and Long-Term Export Supplier Credit Financed by a Bank Insurance of an Export Buyer Credit ******** CEB provides following export credits according to the OECD Arrangement Article 5: Supplier credits; Direct and indirect buyer credits; Bank Guarantees
Annual reports available on reporting year	The annual report of EGAP in Czech and English is publicly available at: https://www.egap.cz/cs/vyrocni-zpravy https://www.egap.cz/en/annual-reports ****** The annual report of CEB in Czech and English is publicly available at: https://www.ceb.cz/kdo-jsme/povinne- zverejnovani-informace/vyrocni-zpravy/

III. Information on the reporting Member State's Export Credit policies and activities according to the OECD Arrangement

General presentation of the reporting MS' policies on export credits, including all information that can help the Commission in carrying out its evaluation regarding the compliance of the Export Credit Agencies with EU objectives and obligations (in the sense of Article 3, Annex 1 of EU Regulation1233/2011).	Both EGAP and CEB aim to contribute to environmental and social sustainability. EGAP and CEB take on transactions where environmental and social factors comply with international standards. Both EGAP and CEB are bound by the framework of international rules in the area of the officially supported export credits, vested primarily in the Arrangement on Officially Supported Export Credits (OECD Arrangement), which has been transposed into EU legislation in the form of legally binging EU Regulation 1233/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council.
Special questions on the following topics:	xxxxxxx
 Environment Climate Change Social and Human Rights Anti-Bribery Measures Sustainable Lending Practices 	
1) Environment:	
a. Do you apply the OECD Recommendation of the Council on Common Approaches for Officially Supported Export Credits, Environmental and Social Due Diligence (ESDDR)?	Yes, both EGAP and CEB are fully compliant with and apply the current version of the OECD Council document "Recommendation of the OECD Council on Common Approaches for Officially Supported Export Credits and Environmental and Social Due Diligence".
Please describe, in a succinct and meaningful way, the assessment process, notably as regards the screening and classification of projects, environmental reviews, transparency, monitoring and reporting.	The Recommendation on Common Approaches has been also transposed into binding internal rules in this area in both EGAP and CEB as well. All procedures, either within EGAP or CEB, have to be in compliance with the effective international rules in this field through their internal regulations.
b. How is the environmental due diligence incorporated in the decision-making process of your ECA and reported?	According to the Recommendation on Common Approaches, both EGAP and CEB, perform screening of all applications with a repayment term of two years or more, and also if the exports are destined to identified locations that are in or near sensitive areas, and if there might

 consumption of raw materials hazardous material management and safety waste management contaminated land ecosystem services biodiversity issues, e.g. modified, natural or critical habitats sustainable management of living natural resources emergency preparedness and response requirements. d. During the reporting period relevant year - 2020: All applications for officially supported export credit transactions according to the OECD Arrangement were screened by your ECA with the 	Yes.
c. Which policies has your ECA adopted with the aim to review environmental impacts associated with the applications in order to take decision on provision / refusal of official support for a project? In particular, if applicable and depending on the nature of the project, please confirm that your ECA reviews the following key environmental factors of the projects: • air emissions, including greenhouse gases emissions, and ambient air quality • climate change considerations • noise • waste water and ambient water quality • water conservations • energy conservation	projects) are, in compliance with the Recommendation, on regular basis reported to the OECD following their international transparency and reporting obligations. Relevant information on Category A and B projects is also published on the website of EGAP. EGAP complies with the Recommendation on Common Approaches for Officially Supported Export Credits and Environmental and Social Due Diligence. EGAP reviews all key environmental factors related to the supported projects of Category A and B. CEB applies the same rules in case the transaction is not insured by EGAP.
	be a high likelihood of severe project-related human rights impacts occuring. Supported projects with considerable environmental impact (Category A and B projects) are, in compliance with the

aim to identify whether an	
environmental and/or social	
review should be carried out.	
 Environmental and Social 	Yes.
Impact Assessment (ESIA) was	
carried out in accordance with	
Art. 18 ESDDR with regard to	
all transactions for which your	
ECA made the final	
commitment in relevant year -	
2020 and which were classified	
as having the potential to have	
significant adverse	
environmental and/or social	
impacts (Category A).	
The applicant for official	Yes.
support provided information	
that addressed the relevant	
environmental and social	
impacts of the project in	
accordance with Art. 19	
ESDDR with regard to all	
transactions for which your	
ECA made the final	
commitment in relevant year -	
2020 and which were classified	
as having potential	
environmental and/or social	
impacts less adverse than	
significant but not only minimal	
(Category B).	
 Before taking the decisions to 	Yes.
provide official support for	
transactions covered by the	
ESDDR in relevant year - 2020,	
your ECA evaluated the	
information resulting from	
screening and review of these	
transactions (i.e. including in	
particular ESIA and/or other	
information required for review	
of the Category A and B	
projects).	
Your ECA publicly disclosed	Yes.
information required pursuant	
to Art. 39 ESDDR (project	Environmental and social information on
name, location, description of	Category A and Category B projects:
project and details of where	https://www.egap.cz/en/information-projects-
additional information, e.g.	classified-under-categories-and-b-realized-
ESIA report or summary	<u>insurance-egap</u>
thereof may be obtained), as	
well as, in accordance with Art.	
41 ESDDR, environmental and	

social information on Category A and Category B projects for which your ECA made a final commitment (incl. the type of information reviewed and the international standards applied). Indicate how this information can be accessed by the public (e.g. link to relevant webpages). e. On what sources of information do you rely during the review of environmental impacts of the application and in the monitoring process during the project implementation? How is the information provided by the	EGAP/CEB relies on EIA/ESIA report provided by the exporter. The information in EIA/ESIA report is verified by an external environmental adviser.
f. Does your ECA have procedures in place to ensure that the environmental standards applied for the final commitment for official support of a project are respected after final commitment? What happens when the projects does not comply with the environmental conditions of your ECA for the official support?	EGAP requires that during the implementation of the project, the exporter is obliged to ensure compliance with the conditions, limits and recommendations specified in the EIA/ESIA report and in the set out monitoring plan. The monitoring obligations prevail until the termination of the insurance under the insurance contract. CEB requires that during the implementation of the project, the exporter is obliged to ensure compliance with the conditions, limits and recommendations specified in the EIA/ESIA report and in the set out monitoring plan. The monitoring obligations prevail until the termination of the financing under the credit agreement. In case of non-compliance with the environmental protection conditions contained in the EIA/ESIA report, EGAP/CEB requires the exporter to rectify the situation. If no remedy is found, EGAP penalizes the exporter in the form of reduction of indemnification payment or application of recourse. CEB is entitled to suspend the provision of credit.
g. Did your ECA detect non- compliance with the environmental conditions of official support by the ECA (including projects for which final commitment was made in preceding reporting periods)? What actions did you take to restore the compliance in accordance with the terms of the contract for official support? h. Other relevant information.	No.
ii. Other relevant information.	АЛЛАЛА

2)	Climate change	
2)	Climate change	
	(This Section adds certain specific	
	questions related to climate in	
	addition to climate issues already	
	covered by the above Section	
	Environment.)	
a)	During the reporting period, did	No.
	your ECA provide official support	
	for projects under the Sector	
	Understanding for Coal-Fired	
	Electricity Generation Projects?	
b)	If so, did you ensure compatibility	XXXXXXX
	of the supported projects with the	
	recipient's country climate	
	strategy? Please describe, in a	
	succinct and meaningful way, how	
	this was done.	NY.
(c)	Has your ECA (or, if applicable,	No.
	any other state agency responsible	
	for official support according to	
	the OECD Arrangement) adopted, or is it envisaging to adopt, a	
	specific policy to contribute to	
	your country obligation to meet the	
	commitments of the Paris Climate	
	Agreement? If yes, please specify.	
d)	Other relevant information.	xxxxxxx
3)	Social and human rights:	
,		
a)	Does your ECA review the social	Yes, both EGAP and CEB are fully compliant
	and human rights related impacts	with and apply the current version of the OECD
	of the project referenced in the	Council document "Recommendation of the
	Recommendation on Common	Council on Common Approaches for Officially
	Approaches on Officially	Supported Export Credits and Environmental
	Supported Export Credits and Environmental and Social Due	and Social Due Diligence". It includes also all
	Diligence?	relevant Human Rights related aspects and social impacts.
	Dingence:	sociai impacis.
	Please describe, in a succinct and	The assessment of social and human rights
	meaningful way, the assessment	related to the project is a part of EIA/ESIA
	process.	report.
	1	
b)	How is the social and human rights	The assessment of social and human rights
	related due diligence incorporated	related to the project is reviewed by EGAP and
	in the decision-making process of	the conditions of acceptability of the project's
	your ECA and reported?	impact on the social and human rights set out in
		the summary assessment for the project are
		reflected in the insurance contract with the
		exporter or financing bank.
		The assessment of social and human rights
		related to the project is reviewed by CEB and

	the conditions of acceptability of the project's impact on the social and human rights set out in the summary assessment for the project are reflected in the credit agreement with the exporter.
c) Which policies has your ECA	EGAP complies with the Recommendation on
adopted with the aim to review	Common Approaches for Officially Supported
human rights related and social	Export Credits and Environmental and Social
impacts associated with the	Due Diligence.
applications in order to take	EGAP reviews all key human rights factors and
decision on provision / refusal of	social factors related to the supported projects
official support for a project? In	of Category A and B.
particular, if applicable and	CEB applies the same rules in case the
depending on the nature of the	transaction is not insured by EGAP.
project, please confirm that your	
ECA reviews the following key	
human rights related and social	
factors of the projects:	
• land acquisition	
 physical displacement 	
 economic displacement 	
affected communities, including	
informed consultation and	
participation process, grievance	
mechanism etc.	
 indigenous people, including, 	
free, prior and informed consent	
process	
 physical integrity and security 	
of person	
 vulnerable groups 	
 community exposure to disease 	
• gender issues	
• child labour	
 forced labour 	
 discrimination 	
 workers' accommodation 	
 use of security personnel 	
 occupational health and society 	
• freedom of association and	
collective bargaining	
• unfair contract	
 company grievance mechanism. 	
d) On what sources of information do	EGAP/CEB relies on EIA/ESIA report provided
you rely during the review of	by the exporter. The information in EIA/ESIA
social and human rights related	report is verified by an external environmental
impacts of the application and in	adviser.
the monitoring process during the	
project implementation? How is	
the information provided by the	
applicant verified?	

e)	Does your ECA have procedures in place to ensure that the standards relevant for the final commitment for official support of the project are respected after the final commitment? What happens when the project does not comply with the conditions of your ECA for the official support?	EGAP requires that during the implementation of the project, the exporter is obliged to ensure compliance with the conditions, limits and recommendations specified in the EIA/ESIA report and in the set out monitoring plan. The monitoring obligations prevail until the termination of the insurance under the insurance contract. CEB requires that during the implementation of the project, the exporter is obliged to ensure compliance with the conditions, limits and recommendations specified in the EIA/ESIA report and in the set out monitoring plan. The monitoring obligations prevail until the termination of the financing under the credit agreement. In case of non-compliance with the project related social and human rights assessment contained in the EIA/ESIA report, EGAP/CEB requires the exporter to rectify the situation. If no remedy is found, EGAP penalizes the exporter in the form of reduction of indemnification payment or application of recourse. CEB is entitled to suspend the provision of credit.
f)	Did your ECA detect non- compliance with the conditions of official support by the ECA in the reporting year? What actions did you take to restore the compliance in accordance with the terms of the contract for official support?	No
g)	Does your ECA have a grievance mechanism in place through which local affected people/communities can address complaints regarding alleged human rights violations in the context of transactions supported by your ECA?	Yes
h)	If yes, how many complaints have been filed in the reporting year?	None
i)	If your ECA does not have a grievance mechanism in place, what venues are open for affected people/communities to register complaints?	xxxxxxx
j)	Other relevant information.	xxxxxxx
4)	Anti-Bribery measures:	
a)	Do you apply the OECD Recommendation on Bribery and Officially Supported Export Credits?	Yes, both EGAP and CEB are fully compliant with and apply the current version of the OECD Council document "Recommendation on Bribery and Officially Supported Export

process. area in both EGAP and CEB as well procedures, either within EGAP or to be in compliance with the effect international rules in this field through internal regulation.	CEB, have
EGAP has also implemented into it procedures a support tool (an online for prevention and evaluation of ris with bribery in international busine transactions. This tool is used for st diligence within various stages of the insurance transaction and also for enhanced due diligence. CEB included all the relevant debase its Customer Relationship Manager and where all the parties involved it transaction are screened.	e database) aks associated ass tandard due the works on for the trment lists in ment System
b) Other relevant information. xxxxxxx	
5) Sustainable Lending Practices:	
a) Do you apply the OECD Recommendation on Sustainable Lending Practices and Officially Supported Export Credits? A) Do you apply the OECD Yes, both EGAP and CEB are fully with and apply the current version of Council document "Recommendation Sustainable Lending Practices and Supported Exports Credits".	of the OECD on on
b) More specifically, how do you assess debt sustainability and the long-term development prospects of lower-income countries in the context of concessional lending? Please describe, in a succinct and meaningful way, on the assessment process according to b) above. Both EGAP and CEB have to followinternal guidelines, which are based Recommendation on Sustainable L. Practices. As a part of the country of the most recent IMF/ World Ban specific debt sustainability analyses IMF/WB's debt limits table.	d on the ending risk ant the results ak country s (DSA) and
EGAP and CEB are not tied/ untied providers. c) Other relevant information. xxxxxxx	1 a1d

IV. Annual Activity Report data:

Explanatory note:

"MS shall report, in accordance with their national legislative framework, on assets and liabilities, claims paid and recoveries, new commitments, exposures and premium charges." From Regulation 1233/2011, Annex 1.

Member States that have more than one ECA should do one single integrated report (reporting obligation is on the Member State as such, not the ECA). Where a MS offers at the same time

different types of products (pure cover and direct lending), the reporting under chapter IV should however differentiate.

A) In case of official support is provided in the form of export credit guarantee or insurance ("pure cover") in the sense of Art 5 a 1) OECD Arrangement: ¹		
Overview of assets 31-12-2020	CZK 23,861.7 mil. (approx. EUR 909.2 mil.) Note: Total Assets of EGAP	
Overview of liabilities 31-12-2020	CZK 11,869.7 mil. (approx. EUR 452.3 mil.) Note: Total Liabilities of EGAP less Equity	
Aggregate nominal risk exposure:		
• 01-01-2020	CZK 83,882.3 mil. (approx. EUR 3,196.1 mil.)	
• 31-12-2020	CZK 77,969.8 mil. (approx. EUR 2,970.8 mil.)	
a.) nominal risk exposure under insurance policies issued:		
• 01-01-2020	CZK 83,882.3 mil. (approx. EUR 3,196.1 mil.)	
• 31-12-2020	CZK 77,969.8 mil. (approx. EUR 2,970.8 mil.)	
b.) nominal risk exposure under promises and notices of cover:		
• 01-01-2020	CZK 0 mil. (approx. EUR 0 mil.)	
• 31-12-2020	CZK 0 mil. (approx. EUR 0 mil.)	
Premium Income 31-12-2020	CZK 362.8 mil. (approx. EUR 13.8 mil.)	
Recoveries 31-12-2020	CZK 299.9 mil. (approx. EUR 11.4 mil.)	
Claims paid 31-12-2020	CZK 3,049.6 mil. (approx. EUR 116.2 mil.)	
B) In case official support is provided in the form of Official Financing Support in the sense of Article 5a2) OECD Arrangement:		
Overview of assets	xxx xx,xxx xxx. (xxxxxx. xxx x,xxx xxx.) xxxx: xxxxx xxxxx xx xxx	
Overview of liabilities:	xxx xx,xxx xxx. (xxxxxx. xxx x,xxx xxx.) xxxx: xxxxx xxxxxxxxxx xx xxx	
a) nominal value of officially supported loan portfolio		
• 01-01-2020	xxx xx,xxx xxx. (xxxxxx. xxx x,xxx xxx.)	
• 31-12-2020	XXX XX,XXX XXX. (XXXXXX. XXX X,XXX XXX.)	
b) total value of off balance commitments		
• 01-01-2020	XXX X,XXX XXX. (XXXXXXX. XXX XXX.X XXX.)	
• 31-12-2020	XXX X,XXX XXX. (XXXXXXX. XXX XXX.X XXX.)	
Interest received 31-12-2020	xxx x,xxx xxx. (xxxxxx. xxx xx.x xxx.)	
Annual profit/loss 31-12-2020	XXX XXX.X XXX. (XXXXXX. XXX X.X XXX.)	

V. Contingent liabilities

Where contingent liabilities might arise	Bank Guarantees issued and reported under total
from officially supported export credit	off-balance commitments:
activities, those activities shall be	XXX X,XXX XXX. (XXXXXX. XXX XX.X XXX.)
reported:	Please note: these data do not relate to any
	specific industry sector.

¹ Member States not using EUR should report the figures in their national currency and in EUR.